

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.usplto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/631,576	08/04/2000	Larry W. Blake	TEKIA.002A	1860
20995	7590 06/10/2002			
	ARTENS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
620 NEWPOR SIXTEENTH	RT CENTER DRIVE FLOOR		BLANCO, JAVIER G	
NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738 DATE MAILED: 06/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Te.			
٠- ز	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/631,576	BLAKE, LARRY W.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Javier G. Blanco	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply	/ IS SET TO EXDIDE 1	MONTH(S) FROM			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of t vill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 A	August 2000 .				
· —	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) $1-45$ is/are pending in the application	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		· ·			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> are subject to restriction and/or 6 Application Papers	election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority document 					
Certified copies of the priority document		1			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S	.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/631,576

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-29 and 35-37, drawn to a multi-part IOL, classified in class 623, subclass 6.46.
 - II. Claims 30-34 and 45, drawn to a method of inserting an IOL, classified in class 623, subclass 6.46.
 - III. Claims 38, 39, and 42-44, drawn to a haptic, classified in class 623, subclass 6.43.
 - IV. Claims 40 and 41, drawn to an attachment for an IOL, classified in class 623, subclass 6.45.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method as claimed could be performed using an IOL having a different shape than an L-shaped one. Alternatively, in the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as: pre-assemble the IOL, bend the IOL at the haptic and/or the optic portion, insert the IOL in a patient's eye.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/631,576

Art Unit: 3738

case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination (IOL) does not require either a material which will support an optic, or, a haptic configuration comprising two legs connected at a joint, or, a haptic frame with a single, narrow, meandering element having a maximum width along its length of less than 2.0 mm. The subcombination has separate utility such as a haptic with an optic previously glued to it, or, an IOL wherein the haptic and optic where built from a same mold or material (one-part IOL).

- 4. Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the attachment for the optic onto the haptic could be a glue or resinous material. The subcombination has separate utility such as a supporting structure or region to allow a surgical tool to grab the IOL and help support it while inserting it in a patient's eye.
- 5. Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as a supporting structure or region to allow a surgical tool to grab the IOL and help support it while inserting it in a patient's eye. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/631,576

Art Unit: 3738

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, Group III, or Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javier G. Blanco whose telephone number is 703-605-4259. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 a.m.-4:00 p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

JGB

June 5, 2002

David H. Willse Primary Examiner